**Concerns**

**Tatiana Tropina:**

(this is a short placeholder, to be developed later)

* Concerns about drawing a clear line between “respect” and “enforce and protect” (possible consequence when there is a lack of clarity).
* Necessity to ensure that ICANN doesn’t go outside of its mission (unintended consequences)
* Concerns re Ruggie principles (shall be no blind commitment)
* Concerns that current level of HR commitment and respect is not weakened
* If the final WS2HR outcome document requires GAC approval, it is likely that there is a constituency within the GAC that will oppose advancing HR within the context of ICANN.
* In the absence of enforcement mechanisms, is the HR statements aspirational?
* If they would be enforceable, what are those mechanisms. Might they be enforceable in the future?
* What happens if the HR policy does not pass?
* What happens if policy does pass and ICANN directs registries to comply with HR policy in contravention with local law.
* Going beyond our remit to develop a Framework of Interpretation of the Bylaw, and into the area of creating implementations of the Bylaw, which is beyond our remit.
* Balancing human rights concerns with other rights, concerns and issues.