JURISDICTION SUBGROUP ICANN LITIGATION SUMMARY v2

| Reviewed by: | |
|------------------------------------------|--|
| Name of Case: | |
| Parties:1 | |
| Citizenship of Parties: | |
| Court/Venue: | |
| Choice of Law provision in contract; | |
| if so, which jurisdiction?: | |
| Law used to determine conflict of | |
| laws issues (i.e., which law applies) | |
| Substantive Law Governing the | |
| Dispute: | |
| Date Case Began: | |
| Date Case Ended: | |
| Causes of Action: | |
| Issues Presented: | |
| Preliminary Relief?: | |
| Relief Requested by Plaintiff | |
| Outcome/Relief Granted: | |
| Was Jurisdiction Contested? ² | |
| Relevance to WG mandate | |
| Impact on ICANN | |
| accountability/operations:3 | |
| Impact if case were decided for the | |
| other party? | |
| | |

¹ Indicate whether each party is Plaintiff (P) or Defendant (D), or other status. Please also list non-party participants, such as Amicus Curiae (AC).

² For example, challenge to venue, challenge to change of venue, challenge to governing law, challenge to application of "choice of law" provision. Please describe the outcome as well as the challenge.

³ Indicate whether the case had or will have an effect on ICANN's accountability mechanisms or the operation of ICANN's policies..

| Did the Court comment on the |
|---------------------------------------|
| merit, lack of merit and/or frivolity |
| of the plaintiff's claim? |
| Key Documents: |