**JURISDICTION SUBGROUP ICANN LITIGATION SUMMARY v2.1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Reviewed by: |  |
| Name of Case: |  |
| Parties:[[1]](#footnote-0) |  |
| Citizenship of Parties: |  |
| Court/Venue: |  |
| Was a contract involved? Did it have a Choice of Law provision; if so, which jurisdiction?: |  |
| Law used to determine conflict of laws issues (i.e., to determine which substantive law applies): |  |
| Substantive Law Governing the Dispute (i.e., which law applies to the dispute and/or interpretation of contracts): |  |
| Date Case Began: |  |
| Date Case Ended: |  |
| Causes of Action:[[2]](#footnote-1) |  |
| Issues Presented/Brief Summary of Case: |  |
| Was Preliminary Relief Requested (and if so, was it granted)?: |  |
| Relief Requested by Plaintiff: |  |
| Outcome of Case and Relief Granted (if any): |  |
| Was Jurisdiction Contested, and if so, what was the outcome?:[[3]](#footnote-2) |  |
| Relevance of the case to the Jurisdiction Subgroup mandate: |  |
| Impact of case on ICANN accountability/operations:[[4]](#footnote-3) |  |
| Impact if case were decided for the other party?: |  |
| Did the Court comment on any jurisdiction-related matters?: |  |
| Did the Court comment on the merit, lack of merit and/or frivolity of the plaintiff’s claims?: |  |
| Key Documents: |  |

1. Show each party and their status (Plaintiff (P), Defendant (D), or other). Please list any non-party participants, such as Amicus Curiae (AC). [↑](#footnote-ref-0)
2. For example, breach of contract, tortious interference with contract, violation of antitrust laws, etc. (state which laws) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
3. For example, was there a challenge to venue, challenge to change of venue, challenge to governing law, challenge to application of “choice of law” provision. Please describe the outcome as well as the challenge. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
4. Indicate whether the case had, will have or could have an effect on ICANN’s accountability mechanisms or the operation of ICANN’s policies. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)