	Jurisdiction Subgroup Questionnaire Response Summary and Analysis				
	Name and Affiliation of	Luis R. Furlán (Guatemala)	•		
	Respondent	Karina Cortes (Puerto Rico)			
Questions	·	Rika Tsunoda - MIC Japan			
stic		Carlos Vera (?)			
an2		Michael Graham (USA)			
		QUEH Ser Pheng Singapore GAC Representative			
ţį		Mzia Gogilashvili - Georgian governm			
tra		Mathieu Aubert (?)			
Administrative	Name of Reviewer, Date:	Greg Shatan	May 28, 2017		
E D	Link to Response:	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
⋖	Luis R. Fuiran	acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2	-		
	Karina Cortes	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
	Shin Takamura	acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2 http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwq-			
	Jim Takamara	acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2			
	Carlos Vera	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
		acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2			
	Michael Graham	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
	Queh Ser Pheng	acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2 http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
	Quell Sel Flielig	acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2			
	Mzia Gogilashvili	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
		acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2017-April/000022.html			
	Matthieu Aubert	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ccwg-			
		acctws2.jurisdiction.questionnaire/2			
		Summary	Reviewer's Discussion and Analysis of Response		
	Summary of response to Q1 ("Has	All of the respondents essentially	Overall, the respondents did not have		
	your business, your privacy or	answered "no." Six responded	any issues arising from ICANN's		
	your ability to use or purchase	"no"; one with "Not to my	jurisdiction.		
	domain name-related services	knowledge"; and one with "I do not			
	been affected by ICANN's	recognize such cases as those in			
n 1	jurisdiction in any way?")	the question."			
Question	For Q1, did respondent provide	No. One respondent did add "The	This response does not appear		
nes	any specific cases, situations or	handling of complaints like Whois	relevant to the Subgroup's work.		
Ø	incidents in which respondent	inaccuracy is improving."			
	was involved? (If yes, include the				
	date, parties involved, a summary				
	of the event and links to any				
	relevant documents provided by				
	relevant documents provided by respondent.)	One responded "Yes It has	One respondent described a positive		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has	One responded "Yes. It has affected the litigation process	One respondent described a positive		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any	affected the litigation process	effect on the litigation process		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction.		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any	affected the litigation process	effect on the litigation process		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction. The respondents did not have any		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore juridical) ties with the United	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction. The respondents did not have any issues arising from ICANN's		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore juridical) ties with the United States." The remainder essentially answered "no." Six responded	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction. The respondents did not have any issues arising from ICANN's		
n 2	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore juridical) ties with the United States." The remainder essentially answered "no." Six responded "no"; one with "Not to my	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction. The respondents did not have any issues arising from ICANN's		
stion 2	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore juridical) ties with the United States." The remainder essentially answered "no." Six responded "no"; one with "Not to my knowledge"; and one with "I do not	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction. The respondents did not have any issues arising from ICANN's		
Question 2	relevant documents provided by respondent.) Summary of response to Q2 ("Has ICANN's jurisdiction* affected any dispute resolution process or litigation related to domain names	affected the litigation process positively given that Puerto Rico has political (and therefore juridical) ties with the United States." The remainder essentially answered "no." Six responded "no"; one with "Not to my	effect on the litigation process resulting from US jurisdiction. The respondents did not have any issues arising from ICANN's		

	For Q2, did respondent provide	No.	
	any specific cases, situations or	NO.	
	incidents in which respondent		
	was involved? (If yes, include the		
	date, parties involved, a summary		
	of the event and links to any		
	•		
	relevant documents provided by respondent.)		
	Summary of response to Q3 ("Do	All eight respondents answered no.	Despendents were unaware of any
	you have copies of and/or links to	All eight respondents answered no.	Respondents were unaware of any third party situations relating the
	any verifiable reports of		effect of ICANN's jurisdiction on such
m	experiences of other parties that		party's business, privacy or ability to
5	would be responsive to the		use or purchase domain name-related
sti	questions above?")		services, or any third party litigation
Question 3	questions above:)		affected by ICANN's jurisdiction.
O	For Q3, did respondent provide	No.	N/A
	copies or links to reports of third	NO.	N/A
	party experiences?		
	Summary of response to Q4a	Seven respondents answered no.	Respondents did not know of any
	("Are you aware of any material,	One did not respond.	instance where ICANN's jurisdiction
	documented instance(s) where	one did nocrespond.	rendered it unable to pursue ICANN's
	ICANN has been unable to pursue		Mission in any way.
	its Mission because of its		way.
	jurisdiction?")		
4	For Q4a, did respondent provide	No.	N/A
	any relevant documentation?		,
.0	any relevant documentation:		
estio	Summary of response to Q4b	All eight respondents answered no.	Since Q4a was answered in the
Question	-	All eight respondents answered no.	Since Q4a was answered in the negative, no response to Q4b was
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b	All eight respondents answered no.	-
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to	All eight respondents answered no.	negative, no response to Q4b was
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an	All eight respondents answered no.	negative, no response to Q4b was
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where	All eight respondents answered no.	negative, no response to Q4b was
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented	All eight respondents answered no.	negative, no response to Q4b was
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation?		negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or		negative, no response to Q4b was required.
Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by	No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed	No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A
	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered	No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A
	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup?	No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A
	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case,	No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A
	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident.	No. No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue.	No. No. N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case	No. No.	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case or situation that could be used as	No. No. N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case or situation that could be used as a "Stress Test" by the Subgroup?	No. No. N/A N/A N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case or situation that could be used as a "Stress Test" by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly state the "Stress	No. No. N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A
Relevance to Subgroup's Work Questio	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case or situation that could be used as a "Stress Test" by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly state the "Stress Test".	No. No. N/A N/A N/A N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case or situation that could be used as a "Stress Test" by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly state the "Stress Test". Did respondent mention anything	No. No. N/A N/A N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
_	Summary of response to Q4b ("Are you aware of and able to document the existence of an alternative jurisdiction where ICANN would not be so prevented from pursuing its Mission?") For Q4b, did respondent provide any relevant documentation? Did any specific case, situation or incident mentioned by respondent raise a "Proposed Issue" that could be considered by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly restate the case, situation or incident. Briefly state the Proposed Issue. Did respondent provide any case or situation that could be used as a "Stress Test" by the Subgroup? If yes, briefly state the "Stress Test".	No. No. N/A N/A N/A N/A	negative, no response to Q4b was required. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A