

QUESTION ON SCOPE OF CCWG-ACCOUNTABILITY WS2 JURISDICTION SUBGROUP

- After almost a year of discussions, the Subgroup has not reached consensus on whether the mandate of the Jurisdiction Subgroup includes considering or recommending any changes to ICANN's status as a not-for-profit California corporation (i.e., changing ICANN's jurisdiction of incorporation, moving ICANN's headquarters, and/or seeking some form of immunity for ICANN)
- Since the Subgroup must have consensus (as per the CCWG-Accountability Charter) on its recommendations, it must first have consensus on its mandate/scope. If we can't accomplish this prior to ICANN 59, then the Subgroup needs to refer this question back to the Plenary.
- Note that the mandate of any CCWG-Accountability Subgroup cannot go beyond the mandate of Work Stream 2, which in turn cannot go beyond the mandate of the CCWG.

Question: Is considering or recommending changes to ICANN's status as a not-for-profit California corporation within the scope of the Subgroup?