1. Executive Summary  
   The CCWG WS2 on Accountability of SO/AC has lready held XXX meetings with an average of number of participant YYY mersons
2. The Group discussed the scope of activities as well as the tasks assigned to it by CCWG

Following course of actions have been so far taken. Four area of activities (tracks) wereidentified as

Track 1 ;SOs/ACseffectiveness, $

Track 2;Assessing mutual accountability roundtable,

Track3 Ddetailed work plan on enhancing SO and AC accountability

Track 4;Aassessing whether or not IRP would apply to SO and ACaccountability

. Some preliminary discussion took place on track 1 and the issue was divided into effectiveness of SO/AC Outreach, effectiveness of community representation in SO/AC decisions. With respect to the track 2 the group also discussed the matter but it has not come to any conclusion yet.due to divergence of views among participants

As for the track 3,in order to enable the group to provide a work plan for this track,,the group es tablished some questions to be forwarded through the chairs of SO/ACs.In regard with track 4,the group has not yet discussed whether SO/ACs should be subjected to IRP.  
  
2. General description of the SO/AC Accountability

The so far carried out by the group are:

To whom SO/ACs is accountable to and,?

To what are they accountable for.?

The group discussed various scenarios. The scenario on which there seems to be general agreement is that SO/ACs should normally be accountable to their stakeholder group (internal and external) as defined by the bylaws.The group examining a process by which SO/ACs to be asked to define their designated community and if their designated community is broader than what is indicated in the Bylaws to also provide necessary information on such broader community . The issue of accountability of SO/ACs with respect to each other and with respect the global Internet users were also discussed but has not yet received strong support to be pursued .Further discussion may hopefully help to receive supèpot of the participant,.at the future meetings of the group

The question of what SO/ACs are accountable under track 1, which includes efforts for outreach, removal of barriers ,if any and wider representation at the meetings and in decision making process . This will be combined with questions addressed to SO/ACs about their existing and extended accountability mechanisms to contribute to track 3.

2.1 Current Status of activities

The WS2 SO/AC Accountability members have worked on some questions to be forwarded to the chairs of SO/AC as a part of actions under track 3 in which , in sending some question asking for a detailed work plan on enhancing SO and AC accountability. The group as its next step of work will soon takeup necessary actions on track 4 as outlined above.   
  
2.2 Progess Report  
See attachement  
  
3 Recommendation To be developed   
3.1 Requirements for Recommendation  
  
  
3.2 Rationale for Recommendation