2	document	
3	General Objective:	
4 5 6	Develop a review mechanism for IFO decisions that would meet most of the requirements of the CCPDP-RM WG for an independent review except for being binding on the IFO or ICANN.	
7 8 9 10	Such a mechanism is a logical next step in the options available to ccTLD Managers seeking a review of IFO decisions which can affect the ownership of their ccTLD. Such a mechanism would be a logical, independent step following the IFO Customer Service Complaint Resolution Process ⁴ or IFO mediation and is available before launching a court proceeding.	
11 12	Specific Objective	
13 14 15	Create an optional and independent review mechanism inspired by arbitration, which is non-binding on the IFO or ICANN and will not prevent the Manager from using any other dispute resolution mechanism to address the IFO decision affecting it.	
16	•	Form
17 18	• Scope:	Form
19 20 21	 The Independent Advice Review (<u>IARReview</u>) is available to ccTLD Managers² who are directly impacted by an IFO decision (Decision) for the following processes: 	
22 23	• Delegations of a new ccTLD:	
24 25 26	 Directly involved parties: Applicants IFO timing: No deadline for the IFO to reach a decision. IFO rejection of an application: Applications are never rejected but 	
27 28 29	 usually go away if not accepted over a long period. The IFO has a process for cancelling, as opposed to rejecting, inactive applications. Proposed eligibility for a Review: Limit to All Applicants. 	
30 31	 Basis for requesting a Review: Delegation by the IFO to another party. 	
32 33	 Transfers: 	

1 CCPDP-RM – Independent Advice Review (IAR) Mechanism – Final Working

¹-https://www.iana.org/help/complaint-procedure

² Given New ccTLDs do not have a manager, and that it is clearly stated in RFC 1591 that there needs to be an appeal mechanism applicable to the delegation process for new ccTLDs the CCPDP-RM WG believes it is consistent with RFC 1591 that all applicants for a New ccTLD are eligible to request an Independent Advice Review.

ormatted: Font: 12 pt

ormatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.63 cm

34		 Directly involved parties: Current Manager and the proposed Manager
35		 IFO timing: No deadline for the IFO to reach a decision.
36		 7IFO Rejection of an application: Applications are never rejected but
37		usually go away if not accepted over a long period. The IFO has a process
38		for cancelling, as opposed to rejecting, inactive applications.
39		 Proposed eligibility for a Review: Limit to Current (or incumbent)
40		Manager.
41		 Basis for requesting a Review: Rejection of an application for Transfer
42		which never occurs?
43		
44	0	Revocations (A last resort action by the IFO ³):
45		
46		 Directly involved parties: Current Manager⁴
47		 IFO timing: No deadline for the IFO to reach a decision.
48		 IFO Rejection of an application: N/A
49		 Proposed eligibility for a Review: Current Manager.
50		 The basis for requesting a Review:
51		
52		 Current Manager requesting a Review of a Revocation notice by
53		the IFO.
54		
55	0	Refusal to grant an extension to the retirement deadline per the CCNSO
56		Retirement Policy:
57		
58		 Directly involved parties: Current Manager.
59		 IFO timing: Per the Retirement Policy the IFO must reply to the
60		application for an extension within 90 days of it being submitted by the
61		Manager.
62		 IFO Rejection of an application: Can be rejected by the IFO but per the
63		Retirement Policy "The approval of an extension request shall not be
64		unreasonably withheld."
65		 Eligibility for a Review: Per the Retirement Policy the current Manager.
66		 Basis for requesting a Review: Rejection of an application for an
67		Extension by the IFO that is being unreasonably withheld.
60		

⁶⁸

³ How the IFO processes revocation requests from third parties is beyond the scope of this policy. If the IFO decides to revoke a delegation it must notify the Manager and allow it 30 days to apply for an Independent Advice Review. According to the FOI (section 4.7) Revocation is the last resort option for the IFO. Revocation is therefore a matter between the IFO and the ccTLD Manager

⁴ The IFO does not have a formally documented process for revocations.

69	 Notice of Retirement for 2-letter Latin ccTLD which does not correspond to an 	
70	ISO 3166-1 Alpha-2 Code Element per the CCNSO Retirement policy:	
71	 Directly involved parties: Current Manager. 	
72	 IFO timing: None - per the Retirement Policy the IFO must send a Notice 	
73	of Retirement.	
74	 Eligibility for a Review: Per the Retirement Policy the current Manager. 	
75	 Basis for requesting a Review: Per the Retirement Policy - For 2 letter 	
76	Latin ccTLDs which do not correspond to an ISO 3166-1 Alpha-2 Code	
77	Element – The Trigger is the ISO 3166-1 MA making a change (other than	
78	making it an ISO 3166-1 Alpha-2 Code Element) to any of these. For each	
79	such Triggering Event, the IFO will consider if the change requires deleting	
80	that ccTLD. If the ccTLD Manager disagrees with the IFO's decision to	
81	initiate the Retirement process it can appeal the decision using the ccTLD	
82	Appeals Mechanism.	
83		
84	 Any other policy developed by the ccNSO and adopted by the ICANN Board 	
85	which allows ccTLDs to appeal a decision by the IFO.	
86		
87	The Independent Advice Review (IAR) will only provide advice on whether or not:	
88		
89	• There were significant issues with the IFO properly following its procedures and	
90	applying these fairly in arriving at its Decision; or	
91	• There were significant issues in how the IFO complied with RFC 1591, the CCNSO	
92	FOI for RFC1591 as adopted by the ICANN Board, and any other policies	
93	developed through a ccNSO policy development process and adopted by the	
94 05	ICANN Board in making its Decision.	
95	Administrative chiestives	
96 • 97	Administrative objectives:	
97 98	 Low cost (Registry/Manager fees will be established at implementation but need to 	
98 99	take into account the size/ability to pay of the Registry by having variable fees).	
100	 Fast – Reviewers to return a decision in less than 90 days from the beginning of their 	
100	consideration of the case.	
101	 Minimize the total time required to review any specific IFO decision which can be 	
102	reviewed by this mechanism.	
104		
105 •	Process Overview	
106		
107	(Note: Once the process is agreed a summary will be kept here, and the details will be	
108	moved to an annex)	

109		
110	0	Prior to applying for an Independent Advice Review (IAR or Review):
111		 Note: The CCPDP-RM will have to decide if an Internal IFO Review and/or
112		IFO Mediation is/are a pre-requisite to apply for an IAR. Regardless of if
113		they are prerequisites or not, a party who is eligible to apply for an IAR
114		should not be prevented from applying for an IAR because they have
115		passed the 30-day deadline as a result of their choosing to use these
116		other mechanisms first. Details of this requirement will be established in
117		implementation.
118		
119	0	The IFO makes a Decision regarding a ccTLD which is eligible for an IAR.
120	0	An eligible party for an IAR submits an application (Application) for an IAR to the
121		Administrator.
122	0	The Administrator confirms receipt of the Application and requests that the IFO take
123		no further action regarding this decision until advised otherwise by the
124		Administrator ⁵ .
125	0	The Administrator evaluates the application (see application requirements in the
126		Applicant/Claimant section):
127		
128		 If the Administrator accepts the Application, it will:
129		
130		Advise the Applicant (now Claimant) that the Application has been
131		accepted.
132		Advise the IFO that the Application has been accepted and that
133		the IFO may not proceed further with the Decision until informed
134		otherwise by the Administrator.
135		Update the IAR website accordingly.
136		Will request that the Applicant select which type of Review it will
137		opt for (Administrator, 1 Reviewer, 3 Reviewers – see Reviewer
138		section for details) and advise the IFO of this.
139		The Administrator will work with the Applicant and the IFO to
140		select the Reviewer(s). Once selected the Administrator will
141		launch the review.
142		
143		 If the Administrator rejects the Application, it will:
144		
145		Advise the Claimant that its application has been cancelled.
146		Advise the IFO of the rejection and that the IFO may proceed with
147		this Decision.

Commented [BT1]: Will there be a prerequisite to an IAR? Internal IFO Review and/or Mediation?

 $^{\rm 5}$ Regardless of if the decision required Board approval.

148		Close the Application and update the IAR website accordingly.
149		
150		
151	0	Conducting the Review:
152		
153		 The Administrator will manage the Review as the Reviewer(s) consider(s) the
154		case:
155		
156		 The Reviewer(s) may request a presentation by the IFO or ask
157		formal questions of the IFO.
158		
159		 The Reviewer(s) will decide if there were significant issues or not and
160		indicate this in their report (Report)
161		 The Administrator will evaluate the Report and work with the Reviewer(s) to
162		ensure it is consistent with the requirements for such reports.
163		 The Administrator will publish the Report and advise the Claimant.
164		
165	0	If the Reviewer(s) did not find any significant issues:
166		
167		 The Administrator will advise the Claimant, close the Review and advise the
168		IFO that it may proceed with its Decision.
169		
170	0	If the Reviewer(s) did find significant issues:
171		
172		 The Administrator will advise the Claimant of the findings and of the possible
173		next steps.
174		 The Administrator will contact the IFO asking it to confirm which option it will
175		take vs the Advice – the IFO will have 30 days to advise the Administrator of
176		its decision:
177		
178		 If the IFO responds within the 30-day deadline with one of the
179		following options, the process can continue:
180		
181		 Accepts the Reviewer(s) decision and reverses its original
182		Decision.
183		 Accepts the Reviewer(s) decision but opts to re-do the
184		evaluation of the request which led to the original
185		Decision.
186		 Rejects the Reviewer(s)' decision.
187		

188	 If the IFO accepts the Reviewer(s) Advice and reverses its original decision:
189	
190	 The Administrator will advise the Claimant and will close the case and
191	update the IAR website.
192	 Note: This assumes that IFO Decisions are basically binary in most cases.
193	Transfers, Revocations, requests for an extension in a retirement process,
194	and Retirement of a 2-letter Latin non-ISO 3166-1 ccTLD can only be
195	binary. Delegation of a new ccTLD between 2 contending parties is also
196	binary but is not if there are 3 or more applicants (which should be very
197	exceptional).
198	
199	 If the IFO rejects the Reviewer(s) decision:
200	
201	 If the IFO decision requires Board approval: The Administrator will close
202	the case and work with the IFO to ensure that the Advice is properly
203	included in any IFO recommendation to the ICANN Board on this matter.
204	 If the IFO decision does not require Board approval: The Administrator
205	will close the case and advise the ICANN CEO and the ccNSO Council of
206	the situation and request appropriate action.
207	
208	 If the IFO accepts the Reviewer(s) decision but opts to re-do its process with
209	respect to this Decision:
210	
211	 Once the IFO has completed re-doing its process that Decision will be
212	presented to the Claimant.
213	 The Administrator will request that the Claimant select one of the two
214	following options and respond within 30 days:
215	
216	Accept the new Decision.
217	 Apply for a Review of this new decision at the IFO's expense (no
218	charge to the Claimant).
219	
220	 If the Claimant accepts the new decision the Administrator will close the case
221	and update the IAR website.
222	 If the Claimant decides to apply for a new Review the Review process begins
223	anew with the following changes:
224	
225	If the Application for a Review is accepted the IFO will bear all
226	costs.

227 228	 If the Review finds significant issues with the new IFO Decision the IFO can only opt to accept the new Review decision and reverse
229	its Decision or reject the Review's findings – the IFO will have 30
230	days to advise the Administrator of its decision.
231	
232	 If the Review does not find any significant issues the Administrator will advise
233	the Claimant and the IFO and will advise the IFO that it can proceed with its
234	Decision and close the case.
235	 If the Review finds there were significant issues and the IFO reverses its
236	Decision the Administrator will advise the Claimant and close the case.
237	 If the Review finds there were significant issues and the IFO rejects the
238	Advice:
239	
240	 If the IFO decision requires Board approval: The Administrator will close
241	the case and work with the IFO to ensure that the Advice is properly
242	included in any IFO recommendation to the ICANN Board on this matter.
243	 If the IFO decision does not require Board approval: The Administrator
244	will close the case and advise the ICANN CEO and the ccNSO Council.
245	
245 246	• The Administrator - details not included in the process overview:
246 247	
246	• The Administrator must be a non-conflicted ⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u>
246 247	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of <u>20 years of experience with</u> respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and
246 247 248	• The Administrator must be a non-conflicted ⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u>
246 247 248 249	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of <u>20 years of experience with</u> respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and
246 247 248 249 250	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice
246 247 248 249 250 251	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of <u>20 years of experience with</u> respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system.
246 247 248 249 250 251 252	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> <u>minimum of 20 years of experience with</u> respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN.
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator:
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This includes monitoring Decisions by the IFO which have the potential to be reviewed.
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This includes monitoring Decisions by the IFO which have the potential to be
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This includes monitoring Decisions by the IFO which have the potential to be reviewed.
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This includes monitoring Decisions by the IFO which have the potential to be reviewed.
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This includes monitoring Decisions by the IFO which have the potential to be reviewed. Set up and oversee the operation of the website which will include:
246 247 248 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260	 The Administrator must be a non-conflicted⁶ individual who is an SME with <u>a</u> minimum of 20 years of experience with respect to ccTLDs, the IFO and ICANN and who will be responsible for overseeing and managing the Independent Advice system. The office of the Administrator will be funded and managed by ICANN. General administrative responsibilities of the Administrator: Maintain an ongoing relationship with the ccNSO, IFO and ICANN. This includes monitoring Decisions by the IFO which have the potential to be reviewed. Set up and oversee the operation of the website which will include: General information on the Review process.

⁶ A conflict of interest is defined as anyone with a current "relationship" (business, financial or family) with a ccTLD, a known applicant for a new ccTLD, the IFO or who is pursuing legal action against these same parties. This would be assessed via a Conflict-of-Interest Declaration form (implementation).

263		 List of certified Reviewers.
264		 List of ongoing cases.
265		List of Review decisions.
266		List of past cases.
267		
268		 Prepare and manage the application of all relevant forms including:
269		
270		 Application/contract for a Review.
271		 Application to become a certified Reviewer.
272		COI form for specific cases.
273		NDA for certified Reviewers.
274		Review decision form.
275		 Fee agreements for Reviewers.
276		Billing forms for Reviewers.
277		
278		 Set up a process to certify and manage Reviewers. This includes, but is
279		not limited to:
280		
281		 Establishing criteria for the certification of Reviewers with the
282		ccNSO and the IFO.
283		 Managing the recruiting process for potential Reviewers.
284		 Certification of Reviewers (validation as an SME, COI, NDA,
285		contract).
286		 Creation and management of a list of certified Reviewers.
287		
288		 Manage financial matters including:
289		
290		 Review application payments and refunds.
291		Approval of Reviewer billing.
292		
293	• 6	eviewer(s) - details not included in the process overview:
294		
295		• All Reviewers will be certified, managed, and supported by the Administrator.
296		Reviewers will be paid for by ICANN/IFO.
297		 Certification requirements will include:
298		 Eunstional ability to work in English
299		 Functional ability to work in English. CV highlighting that the individual is a Subject Matter Expert (SME) with
300		
301		respect to CCNSO policies, RFC1591 and its FOI as well as IFO procedures. The minimum qualification will be 10 years of practical experience in all
302		The minimum quanneation will be to years of practical experience in all

303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311	 these areas (proposal TBD at implementation in cooperation between the Administrator, the ccNSO and the IFO). Legal experience is also desirable. Interview with the Administrator to confirm SME status and ability to work in English. Duly executed NDA regarding any non-public information obtained while acting as a Reviewer on any Independent Advice case. Duly executed Reviewer contract with ICANN. Duly executed COI form which will include certification of no COI with ICANN or the IFO. If selected for a specific case Reviewers will have to
312	provide a formal confirmation that they are impartial with respect to the
313	Claimant:
314	
315	 Conflict of interest is defined as a party having a "relationship"
316	(business, financial or family) with another party or who is
317	involved in any formal legal action vs another party.
318	 Being a Manager or employee of a ccTLD registry will not be
319	considered a COI vs ICANN or the IFO in this context unless there
320	are significant pending issues between the parties.
321	
322	 Choice of Reviewers by Claimants - 3 options for a review:
323	
324	 Review by the Administrator only. This will be a minimal cost option only
325	requiring the Administrative costs.
326	 Review by one Reviewer selected jointly by the IFO and the Claimant
327	from the list of pre-Certified Reviewers managed and maintained by the
328	Administrator. The selection process will be managed by the
329	Administrator and if the parties cannot agree on a single Reviewer within
330	30 days of the Application being approved, the Administrator will select
331	one from the list. The selected Reviewer will be required to formally
332	confirm that it is impartial with respect to the Claimant.
333	 Review by 3 Reviewers:
334	The USO and the Claiment will each shares a Deviation The
335	The IFO and the Claimant will each choose a Reviewer. The means and Deviewers do not have to be from the list of and
336	proposed Reviewers do not have to be from the list of pre-
337	certified Reviewers. If the candidates are not from the list of pre-
338 339	certified Reviewers, they will have to be certified by the Administrator prior to undertaking any work on the case. Once
339 340	certified the IFO and Claimant Reviewers will cooperatively pick a
340 341	third Reviewer from the list of pre-certified Reviewers through a
341	process managed by the Administrator. If the two Reviewers
372	process managed by the Administration. If the two newewers

343	cannot agree on a third within 30 days, the Administrator will
344	nominate the third from the list of pre-certified Reviewers:
345	
346	• The IFO and the Claimant must select their Reviewers
347	within 30 days of the Application being approved. Failure
348	to do so will cause the Administrator to select a Reviewer
349	for the party from the list of pre-certified reviewers.
350	 If the chosen Reviewer is not pre-certified it will have to be
351	Certified by the Administrator within 30 days of being
352	named before it can join the proceedings. If the chosen
353	Reviewer fails to be certified prior to the deadline the
354	party may choose another if still within the original 30-day
355	limit to choose a Reviewer.
356	
357	All Reviewers will be required to formally confirm that they are
358	impartial with respect to the Claimant.
359	Any decision in a 3 Reviewer system will require the support of at
360	least two of the three.
361	
362	• Reviewers will only consider supplementary materials from the Claimant or the IFO if
363	approved by the Administrator. All such requests to submit additional material must
364	be made using the appropriate form (implementation) and submitted to the
365	Administrator within 30 days of the request for Independent Advice being approved
366	by the Administrator. The Administrator, using his best judgement for the fair
367	administration of justice, will consider the following in determining if any new
368	material should be accepted and made available to the Panel:
369	
370	Is this material directly and critically relevant to the case?
371	 Why was this material not included in the original request to the IFO?
372	
373	 Can hold individual teleconference hearings with all the involved parties.
374	• Can request a presentation by the IFO on the matter under review. The Panel, at its
375	discretion, can also request answers to its questions from the IFO which must
376	respond promptly to these (2 business days (TBD at implementation with the IFO)
377	California time following the day of the request – this should be included in the IFO
378	SLE process statistics).
379	 Definition of Significant Issues – Any clearly demonstrable inconsistency or deviation
380	by the IFO of properly following its procedures and applying these fairly or how the
381	IFO complied with the requirements of RFC 1591, the CCNSO FOI for RFC1591 as
382	adopted by the ICANN Board as well as any other policies developed through a

383		ccNSO policy development process and adopted by the ICANN Board in making its	
384		Decision which, in the opinion of the Reviewer(s), could have significantly impacted	
385		the IFO Decision.	
386	0	The Reviewer(s)' Advice will explain in detail their decision.	
387	0	The Administrator will review the Advice from the Reviewers to ensure it meets all	
388		the requirements prior to publishing it. The Administrator may work with the	
389		Reviewer(s) to amend the Advice to ensure it meets the requirements:	
390			
391		 The Advice provides all the relevant administrative and background 	
392		information.	
393		 The Advice will clearly indicate if there were any significant issues or not. 	
394		 If there were issues the Advice clearly indicates what the issues are as 	
395		well as why they are issues.	
396		 Formal sign-off of the Reviewer(s) on the final Advice and a statement of 	
397		majority opinion if necessary.	
398			
399	0	Final Independent Advice from the Reviewer(s) cannot be appealed.	
400			
401	• IFO - c	details not included in the process overview:	
402			
403	0	Will maintain a good working relationship with the Administrator.	
404	0	Must amend its procedures to allow concerned parties sufficient time to file for an	
405		IAR or other official IFO review mechanisms prior to the IFO implementing or making	
406		a recommendation to the ICANN Board regarding the decision which is being	
407		challenged (implementation). As such the IFO will advise all directly involved parties	
408		of any decisions which can be reviewed under this Policy. Such decisions will be	
409		labelled Preliminary Decisions and will advise the concerned parties of their options	
410		for Reviewing such decisions.	
411	0	After reaching a decision on a ccTLD request which can be Reviewed, the IFO will	
412		advise those parties who could apply for an IAR of the Decision and of their options	
413		for Reviewing the Decision as well as the timeline for doing so.	
414	0	If a Decision is being Reviewed <u>under the IARby the Administrator</u> , the IFO cannot	
415		make a recommendation to the ICANN Board on the matter being reviewed prior to	
416		the Administrator confirming it can do so.	
417	0	Will make all relevant internal materials available to the Reviewer(s) who will be	
418		under a formal confidentiality agreement. These will include all internal emails on	
419		the matter and all communications from all the relevant parties but does not include	
419 420		the matter and all communications from all the relevant parties but does not include formal legal advice to the IFO.	
	0		

423 424 425 426 427 428 429		0	If the IFO fails to comply with the requirements of the Review policy the Administrator will advise the ICANN CEO and the ccNSO Council of the situation and request that the ICANN CEO promptly correct the situation. In cases where the IFO fails to respond to a request by the Administrator within the <u>delays-time period</u> specified in the policy the review process will be suspended ⁷ until such time as the IFO properly responds to the request.
430	٠	Appli	ant and Claimant - details not included in the process overview:
431			
432		0	Must be a ccTLD Manager except in the case of the delegation of a new ccTLD where
433			any applicant for that new ccTLD is eligible.
434		0	To launch an IAR, the Claimant must submit an application (Application) via the IAR
435			website to the Administrator (in English ⁸) within 30 days ⁹ of the Decision being made
436			except if the Applicant has requested an IFO internal review or IFO Mediation. If the
437			Applicant has used these other mechanisms, within 30 days of the Decision being
438			made, it will be granted 30 days to apply for an IAR after these processes are
439			completed. The Application must also include payment of the Application Fee.
440		0	The evaluation criteria for an IAR Application are:
441			
442			 Be on the properly completed form/contract (TBD)
443			 Be received prior to the 30-day deadline¹⁰.
444			 Clearly indicate which IFO Preliminary Decision is being Reviewed.
445			Not be for an IFO decision for which the Manager has applied for an IFO
446			Internal Review or for IFO Mediation.
447			<u>Not be for an IFO decision which is the subject of an active IFO Internal</u> Formatted: Font: 12 pt
448			Review or IFO Mediation.
449			 Not be for an IFO Preliminary Decision which has been accepted for an
450			IAR Review, is currently being Reviewed or has already been Reviewed.
451			 Have paid the required fees (fees and details to be finalized at
452			implementation).
453			 Be a party listed in the IFO Decision that is a ccTLD manager listed in the
454			IANA database or in cases related to the delegation of a new ccTLD any
455			parties who applied to be the Manager for that ccTLD.

⁷ Suspension of the review process does not modify any other obligations of the IFO with respect to the IAR policy. As such the IFO cannot proceed with any actions regarding the IFO decision being reviewed.

⁸ All requests, templates, and documentation required for an IAR must be in English. Where accuracy is essential, English documentation and/or English translations of key documents (such as governmental decrees relating to the request) must be notarised or certified as official translations,

⁹ 30 days to be calculated as follows – The IFO publishing its Initial Decision will be deemed Day 0. Day 1 will begin 1 minute after 23:59 UTC of Day 0. The opportunity to submit an application for an Independent Advice Review will expire on Day 30 at one minute past 23:59 UTC.

¹⁰ With the stated exceptions regarding the IFO Internal Review and IFO Mediation.

456	 Clearly indicate the individual the Applicant has delegated to be 	
457	responsible for the Application including all relevant contact information.	
458	 Clearly state why the Claimant believes that: 	
459		
460	 That the IFO did not properly follow its procedures or applied 	
461	these fairly in arriving at its preliminary decision; or	
462	• The IFO decision being reviewed is inconsistent with RFC 1591,	
463	the CCNSO FOI for RFC1591 as approved by the ICANN Board, as	
464	well as any other policies which apply to CCNSO members and is	
465	approved by the ICANN Board.	
466		
467	 For cases where there is a potential for more than one Claimant¹¹. Should there be 	
468	more than one application for the same IFO Preliminary Decision the Administrator	
469	will accept the first application which meets all the eligibility criteria. Should there be	
470	a tie the Administrator will choose which application will be accepted. In all such	
471 472	cases where the Administrator has approved an Application for a Review, the Reviewer(s) will consider all elements of the IFO Decision for all potential Claimants.	
472	 By submitting an Application, the Claimant will agree to the rules for the 	
474	Independent Advice Review, which will include a clause preventing the Applicant	
475	from taking the Administrator, Reviewers, the CCNSO, or ICANN to court with	
476	respect to the Independent Advice Review. This in no way prevents the Claimant	
477	from taking the IFO or ICANN to a relevant court of relevant jurisdiction regarding	
478	the Decision by the IFO and any a pproval of such recommendation this Decision by	
479	the ICANN Board.	
480	 The Administrator may interact with the Claimant's contact person to obtain 	
481	clarifications on the application (and may allow the Applicant to resubmit).	
482	• If the Administrator rejects the application for an Independent Advice Review the	
483	Claimant's payment will be refunded minus administrative costs (implementation).	
484	There is no mechanism to appeal the Administrator's decision to reject an	
485	application however the Administrator will be required to publish theits reasons for	
486	rejecting the application.	
487	•	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.9 cm, No bullets or
488	Reviewing and updating the policy	numbering
489		
490	↔ Should the ccNSO Council decide that there have been significant changes to ccNSO	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.63 cm, No bullets or
491	policies which are covered by this policy or to the ISO 3166 standard, the ccNSO will launch	numbering
492	a formal review of the IAR policy to assess if it needs to be modified to align with any such	
I		

¹¹ e.g. a Decision regarding the delegation of a new ccTLD which had three applicants – if the ccTLD is allocated to one of the three, the two others could appeal – obviously a corner case

493 494	changes. If the review of the IAR policy finds that it needs to be modified, the Council will launch a process to accomplish this.
495	
496	
497	
498	
499	
500	