

# ICANN72 Production Planning Call #1

12 August 2021



# Agenda

---

- ⦿ **Welcome** (David Olive)
  - Overview of ICANN Org and Board Priorities for ICANN72 as a Virtual AGM
- ⦿ SOAC Priorities for ICANN72 (Volunteers from each group to share the information if they wish)
- ⦿ Review of ICANN72 Block Schedule and Deadlines (Tanzanica King)
- ⦿ ICANN72 Plenary Topics Selection (Tanzanica King/Carlos Reyes/Mary Wong to facilitate)
  - Introduction by each proposing group's representative followed by discussion of community priorities and possible agreements
- ⦿ Any Other Business

# Meeting Format and Duration (Preventing the “3-Week” Meeting)

ICANN72: 4 DAYS [ EXAMPLE BLOCK SCHEDULE ]					
Seattle (PDT) UTC -7 Hours		*Tech Day	*DNSSEC Workshop		
Block	Time	MON, 25 OCT	TUE, 26 OCT	WED, 27 OCT	THU, 28 OCT
Block 1	09:00-10:00	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C
Break	10:00-10:30	Virtual Coffee/Fika	Break	Break	Break
Block 2	10:30-12:00	Plenary	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Plenary	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C
Break	12:00-12:30	Break	Break	Virtual Coffee/Fika	Break
Block 3	12:30-14:00	Cross-Community Interaction	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	GNSO Council GAC Communiqué	Wrap-Ups
Break	14:00-14:30	Break	Virtual Coffee/Fika	Break	Break
Block 4	14:30-16:00	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Plenary	GNSO Council GAC Communiqué	ICANN Public Forum
Break	16:00-16:30	Break	Break	Break	Break
Block 5	16:30-17:30	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Cross-Community Interaction	GAC Communiqué	Board Meeting (23 <sup>rd</sup> AGM) 1630-1800


ICANN72: 5 DAYS [ EXAMPLE BLOCK SCHEDULE ]						
Seattle (PDT) UTC -7 Hours		*Tech Day	*DNSSEC Workshop			
Block	Time	MON, 25 OCT	TUE, 26 OCT	WED, 27 OCT	THU, 28 OCT	FRI, 29 OCT
Block 1	09:00-10:00	Welcome Ceremony	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C
Break	10:00-10:30	Virtual Coffee/Fika	Break	Break	Break	Break
Block 2	10:30-12:00	Cross-Community Interaction	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Plenary	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Wrap-Ups
Break	12:00-12:30	Break	Break	Virtual Coffee/Fika	Break	Virtual Coffee/Fika
Block 3	12:30-14:00	Cross-Community Interaction	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	GNSO Council GAC Communiqué	Plenary	ICANN Public Forum
Break	14:00-14:30	Break	Virtual Coffee/Fika	Break	Break	Break
Block 4	14:30-16:00	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Plenary	GNSO Council GAC Communiqué	Cross-Community Interaction	Board Meeting (23 <sup>rd</sup> AGM)
Break	16:00-16:30	Break	Break	Break	Break	
Block 5	16:30-17:30	ICANN Board and SO/AC/SG/C	Cross-Community Interaction	GAC Communiqué	Cross-Community Interaction	

- How many days (4 or 5?) - Should weekends be avoided? What about Friday?
- If reduced to 4 days, are there any internal working sessions that could be held during Prep Week to facilitate the shortened meeting week?

# (Reference Slide) ICANN72 Time Zone

UTC-time	Seattle	Buenos Aires	Istanbul/Nairobi	London	Singapore	Sydney
	PDT, UTC -7	ART, UTC -3	TRT/ EAT, UTC +3	BST, UTC +1	SGT, UTC +8	AEST, UTC +10
16:00	<b>9:00</b>	13:00	19:00	17:00	<b>0:00</b>	<b>3:00</b>
17:00	<b>10:00</b>	14:00	20:00	18:00	<b>1:00</b>	<b>4:00</b>
18:00	<b>11:00</b>	15:00	21:00	19:00	<b>2:00</b>	<b>5:00</b>
19:00	<b>12:00</b>	16:00	22:00	20:00	<b>3:00</b>	<b>6:00</b>
20:00	<b>13:00</b>	17:00	23:00	21:00	<b>4:00</b>	<b>7:00</b>
21:00	<b>14:00</b>	18:00	0:00	22:00	<b>5:00</b>	<b>8:00</b>
22:00	<b>15:00</b>	19:00	1:00	23:00	<b>6:00</b>	<b>9:00</b>
23:00	<b>16:00</b>	20:00	2:00	0:00	<b>7:00</b>	<b>10:00</b>
0:00	<b>17:00</b>	21:00	3:00	1:00	<b>8:00</b>	<b>11:00</b>
						<b>+1 day</b>

# Schedule Production Timeline



October 2021						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
ICANN72	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					



# ICANN72 Plenary Topic Proposals

1	Unfinished Business: Closed Generics
<b>Brief Description (max 250 words)</b>	What will happen with Closed Generics in subsequent rounds, where the 2012 Guidebook allowed for them, but the GAC advised against them, and there is currently no policy* in place? This session will open this conversation, surrounding closed generics, up to the broader community.
<b>Rationale/Desired Outcomes</b>	The single Output under Topic 23: Closed Generics, the Working Group was unable to reach Consensus in recommending an alternate course of action. Closed Generics designation as “No Agreement” properly represents the outcome of the WG discussion. Unfortunately, the WG failed to develop a policy going forward for Closed Generics. There was no agreement within the WG on what the default for closed generic applications would be if we failed to recommend a policy. The 2012 AGB allowed for closed generics, but the 2012 implementation did not. The 2012 AGB allowed for closed generics. Following the application period, the GAC issued advice that stated closed generics only be allowed to proceed if they were in the public interest. Applicants changed their designations from “closed” to “open” in order to move their applications through the process rather than get tied up in debates over how to define or prove public interest. This begs the question how will the closed generics issue be resolved? Should a focused PDP tackle the issue? Is the ODP going to consider how to handle closed generics? Is the ICANN Board finding some middle ground? Ideally, this question will be resolved by the community, not the board.
<b>Session Format</b>	90min total 45min panel 45min interactive discussion
<b>Session Leaders/Facilitators</b>	ALAC GAC NCSG Previous round applicants for closed generics Board member
<b>Community Interest</b>	This session has potentially broad community interest because it raises questions of end user confusion and monopolization, on one hand and freedom of speech on the other.
<b>Group/Affiliation</b>	ALAC
<b>Contact Name</b>	Jonathan, Zuck

# ICANN72 Plenary Topic Proposals

2 Tackling DNS Abuse	
<b>Brief Description (max 250 words)</b>	This proposal aims to bridge the widening gap between policy development around activity considered abusive to the Domain Name System (DNS Abuse) and relevant legal and policy measures. With the overall goal of breaking the silos, it brings together technical community, governments, and business from around the globe to offer different perspectives on DNS Abuse and platform liability. Looking at automated content moderation within platforms, is asks the questions of recommendable policy solutions to best address end user needs and concerns.
<b>Rationale/Desired Outcomes</b>	1) The overall outcome of this session is to bridge the siloed discussion on platform regulation and DNS Abuse. The fine line between technical management of key internet resources, such as DNS and content regulation, particularly aimed at protecting individual end users interests such as privacy or freedom of expression lie at the core of this panel. 2) The debate will welcome input from policy makers, civil society and business trying to identify similarities and divergences between content moderation and technical day to day operation of "Internet's core", including but not limited to key internet identifiers. 3) Engaging a dialogue to better understand end user needs and expectations will support the development of relevant and well measured policy tools to attend to the current challenges and threats. These range from online fraud, through privacy concerns, all the way to hate speech and freedom of political speech.
<b>Session Format</b>	Panel discussion
<b>Session Leaders/Facilitators</b>	Joanna Kulesza (ALAC) moderator, SO/AC reps (tbc)  Optionally: Elizabeth Behsudi; Director, Domains & Jurisdiction Program (tbc) Brian Cimboric, Public Interest Registry (tbc) Graeme Bunton, DNS Abuse Institute (tbc)
<b>Community Interest</b>	Cross-sectoral
<b>Group/Affiliation</b>	ALAC
<b>Contact Name</b>	Joanna Kulesza

# ICANN72 Plenary Topic Proposals

<b>3</b>	<b>Looking out for the public interest</b>
<b>Brief Description (max 250 words)</b>	The ICANN FY22 operating plans (community engagement and services) include the following activity: "Community to decide whether the proposed public interest framework can be used to demonstrate how specific recommendations, advice and public comments are in the global public interest" (p.280 - <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/draft-op-financial-fy22-26-opplan-fy22-2020-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/draft-op-financial-fy22-26-opplan-fy22-2020-en.pdf</a> ). In the interests of addressing the activity proposed in the plan, this session will provide more information about the public interest framework and seek community input on the fit with ICANN processes. It could also explore possible other uses for such a tool.
<b>Rationale/Desired Outcomes</b>	A valuable opportunity for a public community discussion on the public interest framework; a discussion that would inform the Board as per the activity proposed in the FY22 operational plans
<b>Session Format</b>	Public interest framework to be introduced and explained by Board shepherd Avri Doria. Subsequent discussion, comments, and suggestions from representatives of ICANN constituencies.
<b>Session Leaders/Facilitators</b>	Avri Doria At Large a selection of representatives from other constituencies that express an interest in participating
<b>Community Interest</b>	high level of interest anticipated as this relates to the Board decision making processes and thus affects everyone. Constituencies will want to be well informed and the Board is asking for feedback
<b>Group/Affiliation</b>	ALAC
<b>Contact Name</b>	Marita Moll



# ICANN72 Plenary Topic Proposals

4 ICANN Accountability and Transparency and the ICANN Reviews	
<b>Brief Description (max 250 words)</b>	This proposal aims to both explore the ATRT3 recommendations regarding Reviews and the Board approval of the ATRT3 Final Report as well as expand the understanding of the perspectives of all ICANN Constituencies on the implementation of the recommendations. The ATRT3 Final Report included a recommendation for changes to Specific and Organizational Reviews, including creation of a new Holistic Review of ICANN, and evolution of Organizational Reviews into Continuous Improvement Programs. While all Review will be discussed, a primary focus of the session will be placed on discussion of the Holistic Review, including discussion of timing, organization, budget, and other actions to support its implementation.
<b>Rationale/Desired Outcomes</b>	<p>The rationale of this plenary proposal is to increase the knowledge and understanding across ICANN of the ATRT3 recommendations on Reviews, specifically the Holistic Review, and their implementation from the perspectives of all ICANN Constituencies, Board and Org.</p> <p>The desired outcome is for the ICANN Board, Org, and all Constituencies to gain a better understanding of the views of the community on the next steps regarding the implementation of the Holistic Review.</p>
<b>Session Format</b>	<p>Two connected sessions are envisioned:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Webinar A webinar during prep week which will include a video explaining ATRT3 recommendations and Board proposals. The video will include three-minute updates by the ATRT3 co-chairs and 1 or 2 Board members. Open discussion will follow. The session will end with one or two questions to be explored during the ICANN72 Plenary Session.</li> <li>2. Plenary session Part 1 - 1 hour Introduction (5' in total) Introduction by the ATRT3 co-chairs and Board members A flash pitch tour (tour de table) of the point of view of each Constituency (3 mins for each speaker) ASO 1 ccNSO 1 RSSAC 1 SSAC 1 gNSO 4 SG + 1 Chair GAC 1 At-Large ALAC 1 + RALO 4</li> </ol> <p>Part 2 - 1 hour Discussion with the participants - One room or multiple rooms (by region, by language or by topic?) - With Visio and Chat or With tools like Jamboard, if possible. Concluding remarks by the CEO</p>
<b>Session Leaders/Facilitators</b>	Sébastien Bachollet, EURALO Chair , Session facilitators - Sébastien Bachollet and Marita Moll, ALAC Member from NARALO
<b>Community Interest</b>	Given the critical importance of the ATRT3 and specifically to the proposed enhancement of the Reviews to all members of the community, it is expected that there will be significant community interest in this topic.
<b>Group/Affiliation</b>	EURALO
<b>Contact Name</b>	Sebastien Bachollet

# ICANN72 Plenary Topic Proposals

<b>5</b>	<b>How to design inclusive, equitable hybrid ICANN meetings.</b>
<b>Brief Description (max 250 words)</b>	Following the ICANN Board decision on hosting of ICANN72 and ICANN73 this would be an open discussion with all SO/ACs participants (and others) on how we can ensure that the new hybrid meeting format promotes values of inclusivity and diversity. Issues to discuss may include how to ensure virtual participation is treated on par with physical participation, what opportunities hybrid meetings could provide to bolster diversity at ICANN and how we can ensure diversity in active participation across regions, genders, and stakeholder groups. In the session we will also hear how the IGF are approaching their first hybrid meeting in Poland in December.
<b>Rationale/Desired Outcomes</b>	Ideas and knowledge exchange across the community on ways to enhance the effectiveness of future ICANN meetings, a temperate check on thoughts and concerns as we transition into this new format (slated for ICANN73) and a plan for how the meetings can be designed to promote diversity and inclusion.
<b>Session Format</b>	A diverse panel (including ICANN Org) and a series of poll questions followed by an open discussion.
<b>Session Leaders/Facilitators</b>	While representatives across SO/ACs should be present to represent the perspectives of their community group, the session could be best facilitated by either an independent facilitator or a member of the ICANN leadership team who leads on ways of working planning.
<b>Community Interest</b>	All groups.
<b>Group/Affiliation</b>	GAC
<b>Contact Name</b>	Julia Charvolen (ICANN Support)

# ICANN72 Plenary Topic Proposals

6	GDPR / WHOIS data: what is next?
<b>Brief Description (max 250 words)</b>	An opportunity to discuss (holistically, and outside of the current policy development processes, current issues in the field of data protection relating to WHOIS data including new developments in the field of data protection including NIS2 and the Digital Services Act, the upcoming launch of the SSAD and ongoing work to improve the accuracy and availability of WHOIS data. The Session would also learn how some ccTLDs are exemplifying best practice.
<b>Rationale/Desired Outcomes</b>	Knowledge exchange and consensus building on issues relating to WHOIS data that affect the ICANN community. Also, a forward look to what the next steps are in the field.
<b>Session Format</b>	A series of presentations followed by questions and discussion with the audience.
<b>Session Leaders/Facilitators</b>	Members of SO/ACs, including ccNSO, GAC and GNSO with an independent moderator.
<b>Community Interest</b>	All groups - especially those working on data protection or issues that require access to WHOIS data such as law enforcement and cybersecurity groups.
<b>Group/Affiliation</b>	GAC
<b>Contact Name</b>	Julia Charvolen (ICANN Support)