

Initial Consensus Level Designations Analysis - IGO PDP (by George Kirikos, not an official view of the PDP Working Group)

Last Updated: June 12, 2018
 Created: June 11, 2018

Number	Name	Affiliation	Recommendation				Recommendation #5					
			#1**	#2	#3	#4	Option					
							#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
1	David Maher	RySG	Support	Support	Support	Reluctant Support	Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support
2	Jim Bikoff	IPC	Support	No support	No support	No support	No support	No support	No support	Support Only If Option One doesn't receive enough support	No support	No support
3	Oswaldo Novoa	ISPCP	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	Support	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]
4	George Kirikos	Individual	Support if the text is fixed, because taken literally, this kills all but Option #4 in Recommendation #5	Support if the text is fixed properly, otherwise oppose	Support	Opposed	In favour, with strong preference for Option #1	In favour as compromise	No	In favour	In favour	In favour
5	Mike Rodenbaugh	IPC	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	would be ok with	opposed	opposed	In favour	opposed	opposed
6	Philip Corwin	RySG (misstated on Wiki)	Support	Support	Support	Support	strongly oppose	strongly oppose	strongly support	oppose	do not support	oppose
7	Zak Muscovitch	BC	Support with clarification that no "substantive" changes are required	Support	Support	Support if text is changed to means test, and not limit to IGOs	support	support	cannot support in present form	support	support	support (but uncertain about funding)
8	Nat Cohen	BC	Support with clarification that no "substantive" changes are required	Support	Support	Support if text is changed to means test, and not limit to IGOs	support	support	cannot support in present form	support	support	support (but uncertain about funding)
9	Alex Lerman	Individual	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	Yes	Yes	No	Yes (favoured the most)	Yes	No
10	Jay Chapman	BC	Support with clarification that no "substantive" changes are required	Support	Support	Support if text is changed to means test, and not limit to IGOs	support	support	cannot support in present form	support	support	support (but uncertain about funding)
11	Petter Rindforth	IPC	Support	Support	Support	Support	opposed	opposed	Support	opposed	opposed	opposed
12	Paul Keating	NCUC	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no response, but has expressed opposition on phone calls, e.g. May 10, 2018 transcript, page 8]	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
13	Reg Levy	RrSG	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	Vehemently Opposed	Yes (preferred choice)	No	No	Grudgingly Yes If Option #1 is untenable, but with recommendation that RPM PDP should proceed with Option #1	No	Needs Refinement But Might Work
14	Crystal Ondo	RySG	Support	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	Support	[no, implied?]	tempting, but implied no?	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]
15	Paul Tattersfield	Individual	Can Live With	Support if the text is fixed properly, otherwise oppose	Can Live With	Can Live With	Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Support	Do Not Support	Support

GEORGE KIRIKOS ANALYSIS

FULL CONSENSUS ACHIEVABLE IF WE CLARIFY THE TEXT	CONSENSUS ACHIEVABLE IF WE CLARIFY THE TEXT	CONSENSUS	CONSENSUS ACHIEVABLE IF WE CLARIFY THE TEXT BUT MIGHT BE STRONG SUPPORT WITH SIGNIFICANT OPPOSITION	APPEARS TO HAVE CONSENSUS	NO CONSENSUS / DIVERGENCE	MINORITY VIEW (WITH CONSENSUS AGAINST)	APPEARS TO HAVE CONSENSUS, BUT LESS THAN OPTION #1 OR STRONG SUPPORT BUT SIGNIFICANT OPPOSITION	NO CONSENSUS / DIVERGENCE	NO CONSENSUS / DIVERGENCE
--	---	-----------	---	---------------------------	---------------------------	--	---	---------------------------	---------------------------

References	
Number	Name
1	David Maher https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-May/001214.html
2	Jim Bikoff https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001217.html complicated by https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001256.html
3	Oswaldo Novoa https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001218.html
4	George Kirikos ** but read for Rec #1 https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001263.html
5	Mike Rodenbaugh https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001221.html refers to old position previously stated https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-May/001167.html
6	Philip Corwin https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001223.html
7	Zak Muscovitch https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001225.html
8	Nat Cohen https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001228.html (same as Zak's positions) and https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001255.html
9	Alex Lerman https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001230.html
10	Jay Chapman https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001231.html (same as Zak's positions)
11	Petter Rindforth https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001232.html
12	Paul Keating https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001233.html
13	Reg Levy https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001234.html
14	Crystal Ondo https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001236.html
15	Paul Tattersfield https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001237.html