Second IANA Naming Function Review (IFR2) Meeting 7

6 March 2024 | 17:15 UTC - 18:15 UTC

Meeting wiki:

https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=308576318

Review Team Members and Liaisons:	Observers: Hiro Hota
Alan Barrett, Ashley Heineman, Brett Carr, Carlton Samuels, Edowaye	
Makanjuola, Jonathan Robinson, Lars-Johan Liman, Lyman Chapin,	ICANN Org:
Peter Koch, Rick Wilhelm, Sami Ali	Brenda Brewer, Jennifer Bryce,
	Marilia Hirano, Reda Josifi, Steve
Apologies:	Conte
Rafik Dammak	

These high-level notes are designed to help people to navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the meeting recording, which can be accessed directly via this <u>link</u>, or on the wiki page linked above.

Decisions Reached:

- Meetings will no longer be scheduled for the 01:00 UTC time. The team will continue to rotate calls between 11:00 UTC and 18:00 UTC.
- Meeting cadence will stay the same until workload increases.

Agenda Item #1: Welcome, Roll Call, SOI Updates

No SOI updates were offered.

Agenda Item #2: Action Items (all action items tracked in the Google sheet linked here [docs.google.com])

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
02-05	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to start working with Co-Chairs on getting the briefings lined up. They will start with a briefing at the next meeting.	 Scheduled briefings will be complete as of 6 March meeting. Further briefings or information sessions with staff can happen as needed at the request of the IFR2. Archive links to the briefings posted to the wiki here: https://community.icann.org/x/dQAjE
02-08	5 Dec 2023	For the ICANN team to go back and see if there was a blog published to announce the first IFR and if so, republish a similar blog for IFR2.	IFR2 announcement was published on 28 February 2024.

07-01	6 Mar	Formal follow up with the CSC	
	2024		
07-02	6 Mar 2024	Individual review team members to pick certain parts of the contract to review individually and indepth and report back to the team	Co-chairs will pre-select sections from the contract to be assigned ahead of the 19 March meeting.
07-03	6 Mar 2024	IFR2 acronym to be spelled out in meeting invite ahead of 19 March meeting	

Agenda Item#3: CSC overview and Q&A—Brett Carr, CSC Chair and IFR2 liaison

- Presentation slides are linked <u>here.</u>
- Federico Nevis will take over as CSC Chair shortly after this meeting.
- Brett's term as the CSC liaison will be up in October 2024.

The review team's CSC presentation questions/answers are summarized below.

Question:	Summary of Answer:
Lars-Johan Liman: Question on CSC membership-Are alternates individual or are they per group?	Brett Carr: here is one alternate per supporting organization.
Jonathan Robinson wanted to clarify if reviewing the SLAs is part of the IFR2's responsibilities.	Brett: SLAs are something the review team should be aware of, but no input is needed from review team.
Peter Koch: Would the SLA reviews be in the CSC mandate, or would they suggest an amendment to the contract without the review of the IFR2 team?	 Brett: The plan is under the CSC and does not require a change to the contract.
Ashely Heineman: As the CSC, do you take note of issues or areas that would be outside the scope of what your group does but might be of interest to i.e. our group?	 Brett: The CSC would take note; however, there has not been an instance that has come up. Rick Wilhelm: If PTI were having problems, there would be evidence in our workstream.
Peter Koch: Noticed for the most part perfect marks on PTI's performance and asked about how far the CSC looks into the marks not at 100%.	Brett: CSC does not go into individual complaint cases. If there are any SLA misses, the CSC goes in with PTI to make sure there is either a reasonable explanation or with a plan to make changes.

Hiro Hota suggested that it would be beneficial to the team's attendance if 'IFR2' is explicitly spelled out on the website.	 Brett suggested that outreach is needed to make IFR2 more visible. Ashley: Suggested that better articulation of what the acronym means
Sami: Adding mission statement will be useful for increasing attendance and awareness of review team's work.	 Peter: There will be a point when we will do explicit outreach and we can take this suggestion into consideration. Ashley: Spell out the acronym for the next meeting. However, if interested participants looks closer in the session details, there is an explanation about this review team's work
Marilia Hirano asked if questions/requests for IANA will be received in advance or as discussion points during meetings.	 Peter: Some questions could be simple and answered on the spot, while others may require a bit more thought and research.

Agenda Item #4: Call Rotation and Cadence—Co-chairs

- Attendance for the 01:00 UTC call has been noticeably lower than for the other two daytime UTC calls.
- The co-chairs decided to no longer schedule calls for 01:00 and keep the cadence at a biweekly call for the coming weeks and will review by the Kigali meeting, at the latest. The cadence may increase when workload increases.

Agenda Item #5: Next Steps for IFR2 review work—Co-Chairs

- Team members will pick certain parts of the contract to review individually and in depth and then report back to the review team.
- Work will be distributed based on team member's individual availably and/or technical expertise.
- For the next meeting, the co-chairs will prepare the proposed slices of the contract.
 Team will go through the contract section by section and distribute the work. Questions may arise for IANA, CSC.

Agenda Item #6: AOB, close

• No AOB was discussed.