



Bernard Turcotte <turcotte.bernard@gmail.com>

---

**Re: [IOT] IOT - Agenda for October 12 meeting at 17:00 UTC**

1 message

---

**Sam Eisner** <Samantha.Eisner@icann.org>

Tue, Oct 12, 2021 at 12:49 PM

To: Flip Petillion <fpetillion@petillion.law>, Bernard Turcotte <turcotte.bernard@gmail.com>, "iot@icann.org" <iot@icann.org>

ICANN legal is happy to participate across the subgroups as they are initiated.

In reviewing the items, I had a few items (5.b.i; 6; and 16 highlighted in yellow the attached) where we suggest a closer consideration of whether those items are within the IOT mandate before launching subgroup work. In particular, as the IRP is now a binding process, we are not clear on what role the IOT would have in defining items regarding "non-binding" IRPs. (5.b.i and 6). On Item 16, regarding a challenge to a summary dismissal of a Reconsideration Request, it is not clear that there is any need for a separate work track – if a claimant alleges the summary dismissal is in violation of the Articles or Bylaws, then the summary dismissal is the act from which timing is assessed and under which claimant could file an IRP.

There are two items highlighted in blue (7 and 15). These are items where we might wish to consider if other inputs might be helpful prior to dedicating IOT time on this. 7, on potential ambiguity as it relates to interim relief/stays, might be an item that is best deferred to the review cycles of the Procedural Rules after the Standing Panel is in place, as it might be an area where the arbitrators themselves can help identify if ambiguity exists or not. On 15, relating to PTI Service complaints, we might wish to consider how/whether to ask anything of PTI or the Customer Standing Committee to see if any additional procedures are required.

Thanks,

Sam

---

**From:** IOT <iot-bounces@icann.org> on behalf of Flip Petillion via IOT <iot@icann.org>

**Reply-To:** Flip Petillion <fpetillion@petillion.law>

**Date:** Tuesday, October 12, 2021 at 8:21 AM

**To:** Bernard Turcotte <turcotte.bernard@gmail.com>, "iot@icann.org" <iot@icann.org>

**Subject:** Re: [IOT] IOT - Agenda for October 12 meeting at 17:00 UTC

Good evening

I volunteer for

« Selection of Arbitrators »

I'll not be able to join you tonight but I will listen to the recording asap tomorrow morning.

Best

Flip

Flip Petillion

fpetillion@petillion.law

+32484652653

www.petillion.law

 [petillion.law]

Attorneys – Advocaten – Avocats

---

**From:** IOT <[iot-bounces@icann.org](mailto:iot-bounces@icann.org)> on behalf of Bernard Turcotte via IOT <[iot@icann.org](mailto:iot@icann.org)>  
**Reply to:** Bernard Turcotte <[turcotte.bernard@gmail.com](mailto:turcotte.bernard@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** Monday, 11 October 2021 at 19:51  
**To:** "[iot@icann.org](mailto:iot@icann.org)" <[iot@icann.org](mailto:iot@icann.org)>  
**Subject:** [IOT] IOT - Agenda for October 12 meeting at 17:00 UTC

All,

Apologies for the delay in sending this:

**IRP-IOT Meeting #78**  
**12 September 2021 @ 17:00 UTC**  
**Agenda**

1. Review agenda and updates to SOIs
2. Action items from the last meeting:
  - a. SP to seek volunteers for small groups to deal with matters outstanding and next steps on IRP Rules (draft sub-group structure included in this email).
  - b. MH to draft language relative to tolling (awaiting).
3. SP to present proposed structure for sub-groups.

4. Review and discuss draft language for repose including safety valve.

5. Next meetings – 19 October 2021, 17:00 UTC and 2 November 2021, 17:00 UTC

Please find attached Susan's proposed structure for sub-groups as well as the remaining issues document.

Thank You.

Bernard Turcotte


ICANN Support to the IOT

for

Susan Payne

IOT Chair

---

 **IRP Outstanding issues-SP-SE.docx**

26K