NCAP Discussion Group Meeting #72 26 January 2022 – 19:00 – 20:00 UTC

Discussion Group Members

Anne Aikman-Scalese, Barry Leiba, Julie Hammer,
Rubens Kuhl, Matthew Thomas, Rod Rasmussen, Jim
Galvin, Tom Barrett, Warren Kumari, Jaap Akkerhuis

Matt Larson, Kathy Schnitt, Jennifer Bryce, Steve
Sheng, Corina Ferrer

Apologies

None Contractor Support
Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link: https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/SfDak5XTII yXlkCD lkScWN5IRYKvCx QkVx6kY-oa73uVxv49cKijTS8mwf7Qz.rQDFf8Wlx5A8QlmZ

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp = sharing

1. Welcome, roll call

See attendance record above. No SOIs provided.

2. Update from the Technical Investigator - Casey

Casey noted his time in the last week has been spent reviewing the data sensitivity analysis document.

3. Current status of the NCAP project; restatement of summary of action items and decisions made from last meeting – Jennifer

Jennifer noted the project plan dates are dependent on current discussions about the upcoming public comment proceeding. According to the current schedule public comment on the case studies and the 'Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains' (formerly data sensitivity analysis) will be 27 January – 18 March.

4. Consensus of workflow – Jim/Matt

This agenda item will be carried over to a future meeting.

5. Consensus of the document "A Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains" (previously the Data Sensitivity Analysis) – Jim/Matt

Matt T talked the group through the addition of Annex 2 in the <u>document</u>. Casey reiterated points he has made and questions he has raised about the document on the <u>email list</u>. The group had a discussion around the document and several members raised questions for further discussion on the list, including seeking more information from Casey as to his concern with the following key finding: "Name collision strings cannot be measured or assessed properly based on only using data from the RSS. Obtaining an accurate picture of name collision risks can only be obtained via delegation."

The group agreed that there is more discussion to be had around points raised by Casey and other members, and the discussion should continue on list. In the meantime, the group agreed it would be useful to get some more opinions on the document and therefore public comment at this stage could be useful, as long as it was made clear the documents are in draft form. This could be a feature of the documents and a reason for putting them to public comment at this stage.

<u>Decision</u>: The Discussion Group determined that it should be made clear in the announcement of the documents for public comments that the documents are in draft form. With this clarification, the case studies and the 'Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains' can be published for public comment in the coming days, in order to seek input.

<u>Action item</u>: Jennifer to work with the NCAP admin team to adjust the announcement of the two documents for public comment to make it clear that the documents are draft for input.

<u>Action item</u>: Casey and Matt to continue their discussion during today's meeting on the mailing list. Other Discussion Group members are welcome to contribute to the discussion.

<u>Action item</u>: During each of the weekly meetings there can be a brief summary of discussions on the mailing list to ensure everyone is brought along.

<u>Action item</u>: Jennifer to make sure that any items raised in the chat that the group did not have time to discuss today are captured for future discussion.

6. S Review text from the Design Team: Section 4 of the draft Study 2 final report: Board Questions - Jim/Matt

This agenda item will be the focus of next week's call as time did not allow for discussion during the meeting.

7. Summary of action items and decisions made – Jennifer

<u>Decision</u>: The Discussion Group determined that it should be made clear in the announcement of the documents for public comments that the documents are in draft form. With this clarification, the case studies and the 'Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains' can be published for public comment in the coming days, in order to seek input.

<u>Action item</u>: Jennifer to work with the NCAP admin team to adjust the announcement of the two documents for public comment to make it clear that the documents are draft for input.

<u>Action item</u>: Casey and Matt to continue their discussion during today's meeting on the mailing list. Other Discussion Group members are welcome to contribute to the discussion.

<u>Action item</u>: During each of the weekly meetings there can be a brief summary of discussions on the mailing list to ensure everyone is brought along.

<u>Action item</u>: Jennifer to make sure that any items raised in the chat that the group did not have time to discuss today are captured for future discussion.

8. AOB

None raised.	