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These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link: <https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/w34T40fXMHsB2KciTxfgR2PfYYwhSGV--9KLuMCreqGNp4uLO24WAHG_HFf99b75.L6L0N4Sbe5EvPZTh>

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log: <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp=sharing>

1. **Welcome, roll call**

See attendance record above. No SOIs provided.

1. **Update from the Technical Investigator – Casey**

Casey presented an update on his research.

1. **Current status of the NCAP project; restatement of summary of action items and decisions made from last meeting – Jennifer**

Jennifer noted one public comment received to date (from ICANN org) on the 2 NCAP DG documents currently posted. She recapped the action items from the previous meeting as noted in the [action items](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp=sharing) tracker and noted status updates for several of the items.

Jeff suggested that the Discussion Group have a specific discussion about and agree upon the definition of Enhanced Controlled Interruption, referencing the mailing list discussions (see link to mailing list archive [here](https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ncap-discuss/2022-February/date.html)). Warren suggested that Geoff Huston will be useful to speak to given he has some thoughts about different approaches to name collisions.

1. **Workflow process as it relates to the decision points surrounding CI / ECI – Matt/Jim**

This item was not discussed due to time constraints.

1. **Review sections of the draft Study 2 report (**[**Google doc**](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oPmy0MVRcqkjOzh-OvJRMomYc76TYxvQSXjbEG8LV9w/edit#heading=h.msjt9drdk9ji)**) based on writing team work – Heather**

This item was not discussed due to time constraints.

1. **Summary of action items and decisions made – Jennifer**

Jim wrapped up the meeting with the following points:

* The DG clearly needs to continue the discussion about what Enhanced Controlled Interruption really is and what it will look like, per the discussions currently happening on list. Jim encouraged members to continue the discussion on the list, given the technical depth. The discussion on-list will be brought to the Discussion Group for discussion at a future meeting.
* Matt and Jim will connect with Geoff Huston regarding a discussion with the full DG about potential other approaches to address name collisions than CI / ECI.
* Specific tasks are being captured in the action items list, but our goal is to work towards the final work product. Multiple efforts are happening: Controlled interruption discussion on-list; Casey’s root cause analysis; Heather is developing text; workflow. There is not an exact map of the individual tasks and how they all tie into the final work product, but the co-chairs are making efforts to bring key discussion items to the full group.
* With regard to CI / ECI: The group should consider where the decision points in the workflow are with regard to CI and ECI. The group doesn’t have to worry about the tool but could instead focus on the requirements. This is the discussion that was planned for today but will happen at the next meeting.
* After the ICANN meeting, Heather will put together a spreadsheet of public comments and the group will have to work through these.

Action items captured from the above points:

Action item: Discussion Group members should continue to contribute to the discussions on list regarding ECI, given the technical depth of the discussion. The co-chairs will bring the list discussion to a future DG meeting in the future.

Action item: Co-chairs to connect with Geoff Huston regarding a discussion with the full DG about potential other approaches to address name collisions than CI / ECI.

1. **AOB**

None raised.