NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #95

3 August 2022 at 19:00 – 20:00 UTC

Meeting wiki:

https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=208209092

Discussion Group Members:	Observers:
Matthew Thomas, James Galvin, Anne Aikman-	None
Scalese, Julie Hammer, Suzanne Woolf, Thomas	
Barrett, Barry Leiba, Jeff Schmidt	ICANN Org:
	Steve Sheng, Kinga Kowalczyk, Jennifer Bryce, Matt
Apologies:	Larson
Ram Mohan	
	Contractor Support:
	Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/ETFchCD48fG86Z51WjH4GCilXPDRvT65Cswj909kG0LTHAgXSpwS2RC8NRUSEgu4.lj4eORJUX3yVCnbw

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp =sharing.

1. Welcome, roll call - Matt

See attendance record above. No SOI updates noted.

2. Update from the Technical Investigator - Casey

Casey noted that he's made updates to the two reports associated with root cause analysis for name collisions reports received by ICANN, based on feedback from the Discussion Group on earlier versions. As circulated on the list, the reports are: 'Root Cause Analysis – wpad.domain.name' found here; and 'Root Cause Analysis – New gTLD Collisions' found here. He asked for final comments on the reports to be shared, and a consensus call will take place on an upcoming Discussion Group call. The reports will be included in the Study 2 Final Report as appendices.

3. Project status and updates to any action items and decisions made - Jennifer

Jennifer provided an overview of the intended focus of the Discussion Group meetings in the coming weeks leading up to the next ICANN meeting in September. She noted that a public session to update the community on the Discussion Group work is being scheduled, along with a working Discussion Group meeting at the ICANN meeting. The draft final report is anticipated to be published for public comment in October 2022. She also recapped completed action items from last week (see action items tracker linked above).

4. Name Collision Analysis Timeline – Matt

Matt walked through the timeline <u>PDF</u> as shared via email. The group had discussions around the following specific points:

- The purpose of the offramp process and what the process entails.
- Anne suggested to number the offramps to make it clearer what the considerations and communications at each of them is, for example, where the applicant, Technical Review Team, or other parties are involved.
- Anne suggested to add the period of the analysis under each of the steps in the timeline. Eg.
 'ACA (90 days)' or some other recommendation. Jim noted this will be a discussion topic, with a
 final recommendation to be included in the final report text, noting that unless there are
 objections, the thought would be to use the existing timelines. Suzanne suggested in the
 timeline, data gathering requirements need to be clear too.
- Casey noted that the risks of each of the steps should be documented.
- Jeff suggested that not everything in the timeline should occur for all strings. For example, the
 TRT could look at the available data and determine if the string should be subject to all steps.
 He noted he is not in favor of honeypotting every single string and provided reasons for this
 thinking.

5. AOB

None raised.

6. Summary of action items and decisions

Matt noted the group will pick up the discussion again next week, with the updates to the timeline as discussed today. No other specific action items to report.