Designation and removal of RSOs

The estimated time to complete this questionnaire is 30-45 minutes.

Questionnaire responses are not anonymous. Complete questionnaire response data with respondent names and affiliations will be provided to all GWG participants.

* Indicates required question

1. Given name *

    _____

2. Surname *

    _____

3.   Affiliation *

Mark only one oval.

⬭ ccNSO

⬭ gTLD RySG

⬭ IAB

⬭ IANA

⬭ ICANN Board

⬭ RSO: Cogent

⬭ RSO: DISA

⬭ RSO: ISC

⬭ RSO: ICANN

⬭ RSO: NASA

⬭ RSO: RIPE NCC

⬭ RSO: UMD

⬭ RSO: US Army DEVCOM ARL

⬭ RSO: Verisign

⬭ RSO: WIDE Project

⬭ RZM

⬭ SSAC

Designation and removal of RSOs

Acronyms

- RSO(s): root server operator(s)
- RSS: root server system
- RSS GS: root server system governance structure

4. **2.10 | Maintain and enhance trust in the RSS**   *

    The decision-making framework used to establish the number and identities of RSOs must preserve and enhance trust in the RSS.

    Considerations for implementation

    - The "equation" that defines how many RSOs is an implementation artifact that supports the engineering reality.

    Mark only one oval.

    ( ) 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

    ( ) 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

5. Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

    _____

    _____

    _____

    _____

    _____

6. **2.11 | Maintain and enhance trust in RSS performance**   *

The decision-making framework used to establish the number and identities of RSOs must preserve and demonstrate competence, consistency, commitment, and care for the RSS.

Considerations for implementation

- The "equation" that defines how many RSOs is an implementation artifact that supports the engineering reality.

Mark only one oval.

⬭ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

⬭ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

7. Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

8. **2.20 I Move cautiously** *

Changes to the composition and identity of the RSOs must be undertaken with caution. Extreme or rapid change to identities or numbers of RSOs creates unnecessary operational risk and is to be avoided.

Considerations for implementation

- See, for example, RSSAC058 Success Criteria A.7.3, designation or removal will require, at minimum, approval by a supermajority of RSOs.

Mark only one oval.

⬭ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

⬭ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

9. Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

10. **2.29 I Balance of service coverage** *

The RSS GS must ensure balance of service coverage for the RSS.

Mark only one oval.

⬭ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

⬭ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

11. Please provide any considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

12. **2.30 I RSS service mission is global and universal in scope**   *

The RSS is a service that is provided to the world without regard to the identity, affiliation, or location of the user.

Mark only one oval.

◯ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

◯ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

13. Please provide any considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

14. **2.31 l RSO service mission is global and universal in scope**   *

Each RSO holds a position of global trust, and each RSO must maintain a service that is globally available.

Considerations for implementation

- RSOs must provide a global service.

- The RSS GS must ensure that the aggregate of all RSOs deliver on a globally architected solution.

Mark only one oval.

( ) 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

( ) 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

15. Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

16. **2.40 I Criteria objectivity and transparency**   *

Decisions about designation and removal of RSOs must be based on criteria that are both objective and transparent.

Considerations for implementation
- Designation and removal are two different processes that require different standards.

Mark only one oval.

○ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

○ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

17. Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

18. **2.41 I Impartiality of decision-making**   *

Decisions about designation and removal of RSOs must be made in a manner that is impartial.

Mark only one oval.

○ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

○ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

19.   Please provide any considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

20.   **2.60 | Technical necessity**   *

Any additional RSO designation must be based solely on objective technical necessity.

Considerations for implementation
- This principle does not alter the need to preserve and promote RSO organizational diversity as discussed in RSSAC058 Success Criteria A.2.1.2.

Mark only one oval.

( ) 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

( ) 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

21.   Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

22.   **2.70 l Due diligence on candidate RSOs**   *

The RSS GS must conduct appropriate due diligence to assess the technological and non-technological characteristics of a candidate RSOs and to assure the RSS stakeholders that the candidate RSO complies with adopted designation criteria.

Mark only one oval.

◯ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

◯ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

23.   Please provide any considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

24.   **2.80 l Differentiate treatment of designation and removal of RSOs**   *

Decisions on designation of an RSO are different from decisions on removal of an RSO and should be subject to different frameworks.

Mark only one oval.

◯ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

◯ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

25.   Please provide any considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

26.   **2.100 l Funds received from RSO designation are dedicated to the RSS**   *

If the RSS GS receives funds in the process of assessing and granting a new
RSO designation, such funds must be placed at the disposal of the RSS GS and
used to benefit the RSS.

Considerations for implementation
- This is not a mandate to charge, or accept money from, applicants.
- This is merely guidance on what to do if there is going to be such a charge.

Mark only one oval.

◯ 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

◯ 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

27.   Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

28. **2.210 I Voluntary resignation**   *

Each RSO should have a path available that enables it to voluntarily resign its
designation as an RSO in a controlled manner.

Considerations for implementation
- Avoid situations where too many RSOs can resign too quickly.
- The onus is on the RSS GS to manage the resignation process.
- Candidate RSOs must have a plan for this in much the same way that they are
expected to have incident response plans and related management in place.

Mark only one oval.

( ) 👍 I am generally supportive of this principle.

( ) 👎 I would like more discussion about this principle.

29. Please provide any additional considerations for implementation.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Google Forms